## Chapter 5 Exercises

Account for the following observations:

- 1. Boron trichloride, gallium trichloride and thallium trichloride are, respectively, covalent and monomeric, covalent and dimeric, and ionic.
- *Answer*. The following explanations can be offered to account for these observations:
  - (i) The increasingly greater difference in electronegativity  $(\Delta \chi)$  between TI and CI *vs* Ga and CI *vs* B and CI means that bonds become increasingly more polar down the group, so association is more favoured resulting in a trend from covalent (BCl<sub>3</sub>) to polymeric (dimeric in this case) (GaCl<sub>3</sub>) to ionic (TICl<sub>3</sub>).
  - (ii) Larger elements can support larger coordination numbers (6 for Tl vs 4 for Ga and 3 for B in these examples).
  - (iii) Some degree of  $\pi$ -bonding between B and Cl (first row B and small Cl) in BCl<sub>3</sub> will help stabilize the monomer. This is  $\pi$ -donation from Cl lone pairs to the vacant 2p orbital on B.
- 2. SnCl<sub>2</sub> is polymeric whereas SnCl<sub>4</sub> is monomeric.
- *Answer*. The following explanations can be offered to account for these observations:
  - (i) Monomeric SnCl<sub>2</sub> is coordinatively unsaturated (CN = 2) so can readily expand its coordination number through polymerisation (to a CN of 3 in this case).
  - (ii) Monomeric SnCl<sub>2</sub> has a vacant orbital facilitating polymerisation through formation of dative bonds, in this case by means of bridging chlorines.
  - (iii)  $\Delta \chi$  is greater for the Sn(II)–CI bonds than for the Sn(IV)–CI bonds since Sn(II) is less electronegative (slightly) than Sn(IV). This also favours aggregation of SnCl<sub>2</sub>.
  - (iv) Monomeric  $SnCl_2$  has a diploe moment unlike  $SnCl_4$  which will also favour association of the monomers.
- 3.  $CO_2$  is molecular, SiO<sub>2</sub> is polymeric and SnO<sub>2</sub> is ionic and the coordination numbers around the respective Group 14 element centre increase from 2 to 4 to 6.

Answer.

The following explanations can be offered to account for these observations:

- (i) The larger Si and larger still Sn can more readily support a higher coordination number, 4 (Si) and 6 (Sn) *vs* 2 (C).
- (ii) The Si–O bond is more polar than the C–O bond therefore more prone to polymerise due to greater  $\Delta \chi$  for Si–O vs C–O; for Sn, the  $\Delta \chi$  for Sn–O is sufficiently large for the compound to be considered ionic.
- (iii) Strong  $\pi$ -bonding for first row elements favours the formation of C=O double bonds ( $\sigma$  +  $\pi$ ) in CO<sub>2</sub> whereas single Si–O bonding is much preferred for Si in preference to any Si–O multiple bonding.

4. The structure of sulfur dioxide comprises isolated SO<sub>2</sub> molecules whereas selenium dioxide and tellurium dioxide adopt polymeric structures with one and two bridging oxygen atoms respectively for each Group 16 atom.

Answer.

The following explanations can be offered to account for these observations:

- Increasing electronegativity difference between the Group 16 element and O is in the (i) order  $\Delta \chi$  Te–O >  $\Delta \chi$  Se–O >  $\Delta \chi$  S–O so bonds are increasingly more polar down the group so association more favoured.
- (ii) Larger elements can support larger coordination numbers (4 for Te vs 3 for Se and 2 for S in these examples).
- (iii) Substantial  $\pi$ -bonding between S and O, some between Se and O and none between Te and O.
- 5. CCl<sub>4</sub> is inert to water under all but extreme conditions whereas SiCl<sub>4</sub> is readily hydrolysed.

Answer.

The following explanations can be offered to account for these observations:

- The larger Si can more readily expand its coordination number to accommodate an (i) incoming nucleophile.
- (ii) Greater difference in electronegativity between Si and CI (as opposed to C and CI) means that the Si is more  $\delta$  positive so more easily attacked by nucleophiles.
- The greater strength of the Si–O bonds formed (Si–O vs C–O). (iii)
- Lower energy vacant orbitals available for Si but not for C. (iv)
- 6. With regard to the Group 15 trihalides, account for why NF<sub>3</sub> is a colourless gas whereas Bil<sub>3</sub> is a deep orange solid.
- Answer. The following explanations can be offered to account for these observations:
  - The two lighter elements (N and F) have many fewer electrons than the two heavier (i) elements (Bi and I) so van der Waals forces are much stronger resulting in a marked decrease in volatility going from NF<sub>3</sub> to Bil<sub>3</sub>.
  - (ii) Good overlap between N and F orbitals mean that n (lone pair) to  $\sigma^*$  transitions are in the UV (large HOMO-LUMO gap) whereas poorer overlap leading to a much smaller HOMO-LUMO gap in Bil<sub>3</sub> results in absorption in the visible and hence colour.
  - Another reason would be that Bi has low-lying vacant acceptor orbitals which can lead (iii) to association through intermolecular Bi-I interactions.